Human rights and environmental due diligence

FAQ on what it means and how to do it
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1 What does due diligence mean?

Due diligence is a process that gives companies guidance on how to identify risks of significant negative impacts in their own operations and their business relationships throughout the value chains. It also helps them choose the appropriate approach to prevent and address the identified issues, taking into account:

- the company's contribution to their emergence
- the company's ability to influence the business relationships in which the impacts occur

Value chain due diligence in a nutshell:

When a company operates in high-risk value chains, it needs to know the origin of products or raw materials, assess new suppliers and work together with them.

For example, if a company sources palm oil from a supplier that does not verify that the oil does not come from illegal plantations and is therefore not linked to deforestation, it will not meet due diligence.

Companies guarantee the safety and quality of their products and therefore address quality standards and precise delivery times with their suppliers. Human rights due diligence can be built into these processes and taken into account in contract negotiations.

Note: Supply chain refers only to the company's suppliers in relation to e.g. production, resource extraction, etc. (i.e. "upstream"); value chain covers both "upstream" and "downstream", i.e. also the sale, use and disposal of products.

2 Has due diligence been regulated?

In response to demands from investors¹ and companies², the European Commission presented a proposal for a Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD) in February 2022. The Directive is also a response to France, Germany and Norway adopting legislation on due diligence and attempts to harmonize and introduce one European standard of responsible business conduct. Several other jurisdictions – such as Spain, Belgium, and the Netherlands – are also considering binding due diligence legislation.

The draft due diligence legislation is based on international UN and OECD standards, which have been endorsed by all EU countries. In particular:

- United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Business Conduct

Due diligence is already a condition for an activity to qualify and be financed as sustainable under the EU Taxonomy. The proposed Directive clarifies what companies need to comply with in this respect.


3 How should due diligence be implemented?

Due diligence can be divided into six main steps:

1. Embed responsible business conduct into policies & management systems
2. Identify & assess adverse impacts in operations, supply chains & business relationships
3. Cease, prevent or mitigate adverse impacts
4. Track implementation and results
5. Communicate how impacts are addressed
6. Provide for or cooperate in remediation when appropriate

For details on the practical actions that each of the six steps imply, see: OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Business Conduct.

Compare also the introduction on the corporate responsibility to respect human rights compiled by Shift.3

3 The United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights build on the three-pillar “Protect, Respect, and Remedy” framework: Pillar 1 concerns the duty of States to protect human rights against infringements by third parties, while Pillar 2 concerns the duty of businesses to respect human rights. Finally, Pillar 3 focuses on the need for more effective remedy, and is addressed to both States and businesses.
4 How can a company identify and assess negative impacts?

The identification and assessment of negative impacts should be done in a series of consecutive steps.

1 **Initial scoping**

   In the first step, companies should carry out an initial analysis of human rights and environmental risks commonly associated with their sector, products or geographical locations. When assessing risks, a company can draw on the impacts mapped within existing voluntary standards and assess whether they are relevant with respect to the company’s activities, value chain and products. The goal of this step is to identify key areas where further assessment may be needed.

   For example, after looking across its product lines, an enterprise operating in the footwear sector may identify its leather footwear as being associated with significant risks in light of labour and environmental risks linked to the tanning process.

2 **In-depth assessment**

   The second step concerns a more in-depth assessment of the areas identified in the first step (for instance, specific operations or business relationships), with the aim of identifying actual or potential impacts that the company may be involved in.

   The enterprise may then map specific business relationships (e.g. tanneries) linked to the production of its leather products in order to prioritise individual suppliers operating in higher-risk geographies for further assessment, eventually identifying potential and adverse impacts.

   Identifying and assessing impacts includes thinking about the effects on specific groups that might be impacted by the company’s activities.

   For example, migrant workers can be particularly vulnerable to exploitation, including forced labour. Child labour is most often a risk in harvesting of cocoa, or other agricultural products, but also in raw material mining, certain commodities or products, such as in the making of footballs or carpets.

   Women may be particularly at risk of abuse in low wage, low skill roles or affected by sexual violence.

   Indigenous peoples may be particularly at risk where their rights, especially land rights, are not legally recognized or enforced.

3 **Prioritisation of actions**

   Finally, companies should devise appropriate actions to tackle the identified potential and actual impacts, starting with those that are most severe and likely. The objective and nature of actions to be taken will depend on the type of involvement in the negative impact (see Section 5 on addressing impacts).
Stakeholder engagement

Companies can draw on a range of different mechanisms to identify risk areas, potential impacts, and appropriate actions. Stakeholder engagement is one of the most powerful tools in this regard. If done in a meaningful way, it leads to more effective due diligence processes and more informed and preventive actions.

Useful resources

- In most industries, human rights and environmental risks are predictable, making it easier for companies to determine whether or not they are exposed to typical risks of serious impacts.
- Companies operating in different countries are advised to address country-specific risks, see this map.
- Companies may also find helpful the examples of business model risks in “Business Model Red Flags” by Shift.
- Companies that want to start managing their risks may also use this online tool from Dutch organisation MVO.
- The Corporate Human Rights Benchmark by the World Benchmarking Alliance can also serve as a guide for companies.
- See also 10 practical tips by the German government for implementing human rights due diligence.
- The website “Doing business with respect for Human Rights” provides practical advice and real-life examples of companies’ implementation of human rights due diligence.
5 How can a company cease, prevent or mitigate impacts?

If companies identify negative impacts, they should try to cease, prevent or mitigate these impacts, monitor and report on the results of their actions, and communicate them to those who are affected. Businesses are expected to take actions to address all negative in which they are involved, and risks must be monitored and evaluated on an ongoing basis – especially when starting a new business or changing suppliers.

International standards expect that all companies conduct due diligence, irrespective of characteristics such as size, sector, operational context, ownership or structure. However, measures taken to identify and address impacts should be appropriate to the size of the company: Smaller and medium-sized companies can find special guidance to first steps of due diligence here. Smaller companies can also use due diligence to ensure reliable and long-term supplier relationships.

Specific measures depend on the involvement of the company in the adverse impacts:

Companies should prioritise addressing the most severe impacts they are involved in, regardless of whether they caused, contributed to, or were linked to them. When addressing an impact requires working together with other actors, it is important to take into account the extent to which the company can influence how these actors behave – how much “leverage” it has.

1) A company causes an impact when its activities are enough to lead to the adverse impact. In these cases, companies should cease or prevent the impact and remedy the harm caused.

2) A business contributes to an impact if its activities lead to an impact in combination with the activities of other companies. Businesses contributing to impacts should cease or prevent their contribution, contribute to remediation in proportion to their responsibility, and use its influence over other businesses involved in the impact so that they do the same.

3) An enterprise is directly linked to an impact where there is no contribution by the company, but its products, services or operations are involved through a business relationship. In these cases, the undertaking should use its leverage with third parties (e.g. through contractual terms or other means where contractual terms cannot be applied) to seek to prevent or mitigate the impact.

Exercising leverage

The more complex or systemic the issue, or the more remote in an undertaking’s value chain, the more likely that exercising leverage will require some form of collaboration with others, whether industry peers or other public, private, international or civil society organisations.

Where an undertaking cannot create or use the leverage necessary to achieve change, it needs to seek ways to increase its leverage, mainly through collaboration with other actors. As a last resort, businesses may consider ending the business relationship(s) concerned. However, in most cases, due diligence does not require companies to end the problematic business relationship, but rather seeks ways to influence the problem proportionate to their leverage.
Taking appropriate action

**IF A COMPANY...**

- **Has caused or may cause an impact**
- **Has contributed or may contribute to an impact**
- **Has or may have its operations linked to an impact through its relationships with other entities**

**THEN IT SHOULD...**

- **Prevent or mitigate the impact**
- **Prevent or mitigate its contribution to the impact**
  + **Use or increase its leverage** with other responsible parties to prevent or mitigate the impact
  + **Consider using its leverage** with responsible parties to enable remedy

- **Remediate the harm if the impact has occurred**
- **Contribute to remediating the harm if the impact has occurred, to the extent of its contribution**
- **Not required itself to remediate the harm but may take a role in remedy**

**AND...**

- Shift Project, Ltd. © 2022

Infographics by Shift, more at shiftproject.org
6 What are examples of good and bad practice?

The specific actions to be taken to ensure appropriate due diligence will depend on the specifics of each case, for instance in relation with the product, company location, or aspect of business operations. Below are some general examples of good and bad practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workforce (on-site)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require contractors to ensure that all workers involved in the activity have guaranteed appropriate level of protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using subcontractors that hire foreign workers and pay less than national minimum wage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High-risk value chains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know your chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess new suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage with high-risk suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading in a high-risk commodity and taking no precautions (e.g. cotton and child labour, palm oil and deforestation, conflict minerals)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourcing from high-risk geographies and failing to screen suppliers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct investments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry out environmental and human rights impact assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failing to engage local and indigenous communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmful operations in national parks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement system to identify heightened risk of potential human rights violations in screening of companies for potential investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing land grabbing activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing palm oil companies linked to deforestation and not exercising leverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing in companies which systematically abuse labour rights</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 What are the benefits of conducting due diligence?

Effective due diligence can provide companies with significant advantages in terms of performance and productivity, access to finance, and resilience of value chains. These benefits can be realized with a small investment in due diligence building on existing risk-management systems. Research shows that companies implementing due diligence tend to obtain net gains once its positive effects are taken into account (see below on the costs of implementing due diligence).

Positive impact on financial performance

→ Companies that exercise due diligence in their supply chains are more competitive. They have significantly lower employee fluctuation and often several times higher labour productivity. Other benefits include better strategic risk management, and improved reputation.4

→ The coronavirus pandemic has shown how vulnerable global supply chains are. OECD studies indicate that responsible companies have coped better with the coronavirus crisis in the short term and have better prospects of overcoming the crisis in the medium and long term.5

Bettina Roth,
Head of Quality Management & CSR
Supply Chain, apparel company Vaude

“Even in the pandemic, we had stable supply chains and we could find solutions with our suppliers when they struggled with the covid-19 impacts. We can clearly show that sustainability leads to economic success.”

Better access to investment

→ The EU’s green economic transformation will require €480 billion a year in additional investment, a gap that will have to be filled by private capital.6 Companies wishing to access this funding will have to meet due diligence criteria.

→ Investors and banks are increasingly demanding information on sustainability and due diligence. Examples include the members of the Investor Alliance for Human Rights7, which manages USD 6.3 trillion in assets, supporting the draft EU legislation, as well as more than 100 other companies and investors, including Danone, Ericsson, IKEA, Aviva and Robeco.8

Théo Jaekel,
Corporate Responsibility Expert,
telecommunications company Ericsson

“Many times we have experienced direct questions from investors on our due diligence. By being prepared, and by having due diligence frameworks, we have seen quite positive reactions from them. We also have regular engagements with our investors to update them on the situation in specific high-risk countries and that has been really appreciated by them.”

---


Better decision-making in times of armed conflict

The Russian war in Ukraine has, in addition to the COVID-19 global pandemic, once again highlighted the need for corporate human rights and environmental due diligence. Future private sector preparedness in the face of such crises requires a harmonised standard of responsible business conduct in order to help direct corporate behaviour and to ensure companies do not contribute to armed conflict, or otherwise exacerbate crises.

Situations of crisis, such as armed conflicts or global pandemics, demand rapid and comprehensive decision-making and action on behalf of the private sector as well as governments. Embodying a standard of responsible business conduct and effective decision-making enable companies to respond appropriately to situations of significantly heightened risk, in order to safeguard human rights (including the right to life) and the environment. With such procedures and processes in place, companies are better prepared to take decisive and protective action when it matters most.

A level playing field for domestic producers

Companies producing in the EU have higher costs than their competitors using manufacturing outside of Europe, where labour and environmental standards are not the same. Introducing due diligence obligations would alleviate this disadvantage. This is the case, for e.g. companies in the apparel and outdoor equipment sectors, or in European steel production.
8 What are the costs of implementing due diligence?

Due diligence can be incorporated into existing risk-management practices and procedures. Therefore, a small investment can help companies protect themselves from human rights and environmental risks while preventing and addressing their negative impacts on their operating environment.

- For large companies, the cost of implementing due diligence is estimated at an average of 0.005 percent of their profits, and for small and medium-sized companies at 0.07 percent.9
- According to the experience of companies10, the costs invested in identifying risks and taking preventive and mitigation measures pays off financially and leads to savings. This conclusion was also reached by an OECD study that examined the costs of implementing several due diligence regulations and found that the costs of implementation are offset by various economic benefits for companies.11
- The more companies apply due diligence in their supply chains, the lower the costs for individual companies.12
- Overall, the costs of implementing due diligence are manageable.

9 British Institute of International and Comparative Law, Civic Consulting und London School of Economics 2020: Study on due diligence requirements through the supply chain, p. 427: https://op.europa.eu/de/publication-detail/-/publication/8ba0a8f6-4c83-11ea-b8b7-01aa75ed71a1
The real price\textsuperscript{17} of a $20 t-shirt

The cost differences are mainly related to the salaries of the producers, as Purnaa employees earn more than the living wage, and also to the materials, which are produced in an environmentally friendly way. Purnaa, on the other hand, operates on a lower margin and sells its products directly. The focus on sustainability helps Purnaa to attract customers. The higher administrative costs of the ‘industry-average’ t-shirt, including shipping costs and import taxes, are due to production taking place in Nepal.

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & Industry average & Purnaa fair trade \\
\hline
Producer salaries & $0.05 & $1.68 \\
\hline
Materials & $1.38 & $2.80 \\
\hline
Trader’s margin & $12.83 & $11.44 \\
\hline
Wholesale margin & $4.28 & $0 \\
\hline
Other administrative costs & $1.46 & $4.08 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\textsuperscript{17} Based on the infographics “The real cost of a $20 t-shirt” and simplified, original: \url{https://www.purnaa.com/post/what-is-the-true-cost-of-a-t-shirt}
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\subsection*{Contact}

Julia Otten
Policy Officer
Frank Bold
julia.otten@frankbold.org

\url{en.frankbold.org}
\url{Responsible Companies, Frank Bold}
\url{@purposeofcorp}

Brussels and Prague, April 2023